

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATI		
10/622,400	07/18/2003	Lewis Conrad Keller	CFLAY.00212	4684	
22858	7590 10/12/2004		EXAMINER		
	YEE & CAHOON, LL	YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI			
P·O BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	1761		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

······································		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		10/622,400)	KELLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		George C		1761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time fory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> p /are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/ are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ta haya baar	a magairead					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			ion No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority				Stage			
	application from the International Burea			ca in this reactional	Clago			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	į		ed.				
			•					
A44 - 4	44-2							
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summan	, (PTΩ-413\				
′ =	ce of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/15/2003</u> .)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Keller (U.S. Patent No. 4,869,911). Keller shows the product set forth in claim 13. Alternatively, it is not seen that the claim defines an unobvious new product over the product of Keller. The Examiner's position is that irrespective of the process by which the product is made, the claimed product is still a crisp, expanded, farinaceous food product having a moisture content from about 4 weight percent to about 8 weight percent and a water activity of from about 0.30 to about 0.45; and such a product is shown by Keller. It is well settled that the recitation that the product is made by a new process, if the process was indeed new and patentable, does not impart patentability to an otherwise unpatentable product. The burden is upon the applicants to come forward with evidence to prove that the prior art

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

product does not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the claimed product. See <u>In re Brown</u>, 173 USPQ 685; <u>In re Pilkington</u>, 162 USPQ 145; <u>In re Fessman</u>, 180 USPQ 324 (especially 325, last para.); <u>In re Marosi</u> 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 195 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Prior Art Citation

The Kazemzadeh patent is cited to show a process for producing a syrup-infused farinaceous food product.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G.C. Yeung/dh October 8, 2004

GEORGE C. YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER